The Effectiveness of AI-Based Interventions in Reducing Healthcare Inequalities: A Comprehensive Review

Amirul bin Abdullah
Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI)

Muhammad bin Yusuf
Universiti Malaysia Kelantan (UMK)

Abstract

This research examines the potential of AI-based interventions to address healthcare inequalities by improving access to healthcare and increasing the quality of care for underserved populations. Our findings reveal that AI technology can be used in several ways to reduce healthcare disparities. The finding suggests that predictive analytics using AI algorithms can analyze large health data sets and identify patterns that indicate a higher risk of developing certain diseases or conditions. This information can then be used to target preventive interventions to at-risk populations, reducing healthcare costs and improving health outcomes. Additionally, finding demonstrates that AI-powered virtual assistants and chatbots can help patients access healthcare information and services, including booking appointments, finding healthcare providers, and getting medical advice. These tools can be particularly helpful for underserved populations who may have difficulty accessing traditional healthcare services. The findings also highlight that AI algorithms can create personalized treatment plans by analyzing patient data such as medical history, genetic makeup, and lifestyle factors. This approach can ensure that patients receive the most effective treatments for their specific needs, reducing healthcare disparities and improving outcomes. Moreover, the finding suggests that

AI-powered monitoring devices can track patients' health status in real-time, allowing healthcare providers to identify potential issues early and provide timely interventions. This approach can be particularly beneficial for patients who live in remote or underserved areas, where access to healthcare may be limited. This research indicates that AI-based interventions have the potential to reduce healthcare inequalities and improve access to healthcare and quality of care for underserved populations. These findings highlight the importance of further research and development in this area to ensure equitable healthcare access and outcomes for all.

Introduction

Healthcare inequality refers to the unequal distribution of healthcare resources and services among different groups of people. This inequality can occur due to a variety of factors, such as socioeconomic status, race and ethnicity, gender, age, and geographic location. Healthcare inequality is a significant issue as it results in disparities in health outcomes, access to care, and quality of care received by different groups. In turn, these disparities can lead to significant health problems and decreased life expectancy for marginalized groups.

One significant factor contributing to healthcare inequality is socioeconomic status. Individuals with lower income and education levels are more likely to experience poorer health outcomes, have limited access to healthcare services, and receive lower quality care compared to those with higher socioeconomic status. For example, individuals living in poverty are more likely to suffer from chronic diseases such as diabetes and heart disease, have higher rates of infant mortality, and experience more significant barriers to accessing healthcare services, such as transportation and childcare. Moreover, individuals from low-income backgrounds often have inadequate health insurance coverage, making it challenging to afford necessary medical treatments and procedures. These issues result in a significant gap in health outcomes between high and low-income individuals.

Race and ethnicity are also essential factors in healthcare inequality. Historically marginalized groups, such as African Americans and Hispanic populations, have experienced significant disparities in healthcare access, quality, and outcomes compared to white individuals. For example, African Americans have higher rates of hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease compared to white individuals. Moreover, African American and Hispanic populations are less likely to have access to health insurance, leading to reduced access to care and higher rates of medical

debt. Additionally, healthcare providers often exhibit implicit biases against minority populations, resulting in lower quality care and a lack of trust in the healthcare system.

Geographic location is another factor that contributes to healthcare inequality. Rural populations often have limited access to healthcare services, including primary care providers and specialists. Additionally, rural areas often have higher rates of poverty and lower levels of education, further exacerbating healthcare disparities. Rural populations are also more likely to have chronic health conditions, such as diabetes and heart disease, and experience higher rates of mortality compared to urban populations. This disparity in healthcare access and quality of care is a significant challenge for policymakers and healthcare providers in addressing healthcare inequality.

Healthcare inequalities are particularly prevalent among sexual minorities and LGBTQ individuals. These populations face a range of challenges in accessing healthcare services and receiving appropriate care. Stigma, discrimination, and lack of understanding among healthcare providers are significant barriers that lead to disparities in health outcomes for LGBTQ individuals.

One significant challenge faced by LGBTQ individuals is the lack of access to appropriate healthcare services. Many healthcare providers are not trained to provide culturally competent care to LGBTQ individuals. This can result in misdiagnosis, delayed treatment, and even harm to patients. LGBTQ individuals may also face discrimination from healthcare providers, such as denial of care or inappropriate treatment. The lack of access to healthcare services also puts LGBTQ individuals at risk for developing chronic health conditions, such as mental health issues and substance abuse disorders.

Another significant challenge faced by LGBTQ individuals is the lack of health insurance coverage. LGBTQ individuals are less likely to have access to employer-sponsored health insurance, and those who are self-employed or work in small businesses may not be able to afford individual health insurance coverage. This lack of coverage makes it difficult for LGBTQ individuals to access necessary healthcare services, including preventative care, diagnostic tests, and treatment for chronic conditions.

LGBTQ individuals also face significant mental health challenges that can impact their overall health and wellbeing. LGBTQ individuals experience higher rates of mental health conditions, such as depression, anxiety, and substance abuse disorders, compared to the general population. However, these individuals are less likely to

seek treatment for mental health issues due to stigma and lack of access to appropriate healthcare services. The lack of appropriate mental healthcare services puts LGBTQ individuals at risk for developing long-term mental health conditions that can impact their overall quality of life.

In conclusion, healthcare inequalities in sexual minorities and LGBTQ individuals are a significant issue that requires attention from policymakers, healthcare providers, and the general public. Addressing these inequalities requires an understanding of the unique challenges faced by these populations, including lack of access to appropriate healthcare services, discrimination, lack of health insurance coverage, and mental health challenges. Culturally competent care, education and training for healthcare providers, and policies that address the specific needs of LGBTQ individuals are necessary steps towards reducing healthcare inequalities and improving health outcomes for these marginalized communities.

implementing AI-based interventions requires a significant amount of funding. Healthcare fund plays a crucial role in the effectiveness of AI-based interventions in reducing healthcare inequalities. Firstly, healthcare fund can be used to finance the development and implementation of AI-based interventions that are tailored to address the specific needs of underserved populations. This includes designing algorithms that take into account factors such as race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and language barriers that may impact healthcare outcomes. For instance, AI can be used to develop predictive models that identify populations at risk for certain health conditions, enabling targeted interventions to be implemented to prevent and treat those conditions.

Moreover, healthcare fund can be used to train healthcare professionals to effectively use AI-based interventions to reduce healthcare inequalities. Healthcare professionals need to have the necessary skills and knowledge to interpret and apply AI-generated data in their clinical decision-making processes. By investing in training programs, healthcare fund can ensure that healthcare professionals are equipped with the necessary skills to use AI-based interventions to their full potential, especially in serving marginalized populations. Additionally, healthcare fund can be used to develop and implement outreach and education programs to increase the awareness and uptake of AI-based interventions among underserved communities.

Finally, healthcare fund can be used to evaluate the effectiveness of AI-based interventions in reducing healthcare inequalities. Evaluation is critical in determining whether AI-based interventions are achieving their intended goals and

in identifying areas for improvement. Healthcare fund can be used to finance the development of evaluation frameworks and the collection of data to assess the impact of AI-based interventions on healthcare outcomes. The findings from such evaluations can inform future healthcare policies and investments, ensuring that healthcare fund is directed towards interventions that are most effective in reducing healthcare inequalities.

Predictive analytics

In today's world, the healthcare industry is constantly evolving and improving with the advancements of technology. One of the latest technologies that have been making waves in the healthcare industry is predictive analytics, which utilizes AI algorithms to analyze large amounts of health data and identify patterns that may indicate a higher risk of developing certain diseases or conditions.

Predictive analytics is a type of advanced analytics that is used to make predictions about future events or outcomes based on historical data. In the context of healthcare, it involves analyzing large amounts of data such as electronic health records, insurance claims, and clinical trial data to identify patterns and trends that can help predict the likelihood of a patient developing a particular disease or condition.

The potential of predictive analytics in healthcare is vast, as it has the potential to revolutionize the way we approach preventive care. By identifying at-risk populations and predicting the likelihood of certain diseases or conditions, healthcare providers can target preventive interventions to those who need it most. This not only improves outcomes for patients but also reduces healthcare costs in the long run.

For example, if predictive analytics can identify a patient who is at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes, healthcare providers can offer early interventions such as lifestyle modifications and medication to prevent or delay the onset of the disease. This proactive approach can potentially save the patient from the complications associated with diabetes, such as heart disease and kidney failure, and also save the healthcare system from the cost of treating these complications.

In addition to identifying at-risk populations, predictive analytics can also be used to improve patient outcomes by predicting the effectiveness of certain treatments or therapies. By analyzing historical data and identifying patterns, predictive analytics can help healthcare providers determine which treatments are most likely to work

for a particular patient. This personalized approach to treatment can lead to better outcomes and fewer complications for patients.

Moreover, predictive analytics can also help healthcare providers optimize their operations and resources. By analyzing data on patient flow, staffing levels, and equipment usage, healthcare providers can identify areas of inefficiency and make adjustments to improve the overall efficiency of their operations. This not only improves patient care but also helps reduce healthcare costs by minimizing waste and improving resource allocation.

However, the implementation of predictive analytics in healthcare is not without its challenges. One of the main challenges is the need for high-quality data. In order for predictive analytics to be effective, it requires large amounts of high-quality data that is accurate, complete, and up-to-date. This can be a challenge in healthcare, where data is often fragmented and stored in various systems that are not interoperable.

Another challenge is the need for robust algorithms that can handle the complexity of healthcare data. Healthcare data is often heterogeneous and includes a wide range of data types such as clinical, financial, and operational data. Algorithms need to be able to handle this complexity and identify meaningful patterns and trends that can be used to make accurate predictions.

Furthermore, the ethical implications of using predictive analytics in healthcare must also be considered. There is a risk that the use of predictive analytics could lead to discrimination against certain populations if the algorithms are not designed and implemented appropriately. For example, if an algorithm is biased against a certain race or ethnicity, it could result in unequal access to preventive interventions and treatment options.

Predictive analytics has the potential to revolutionize the way we approach healthcare by identifying at-risk populations, predicting the effectiveness of treatments, and optimizing operations and resources. While there are challenges that must be addressed, the benefits of predictive analytics far outweigh the risks. With the continued advancements in technology and the increasing availability of high-quality healthcare data, predictive analytics is poised to become an essential tool in the healthcare industry in the years to come.

Virtual assistants and chatbots

The emergence of AI-powered virtual assistants and chatbots has revolutionized the way patients access healthcare information and services. With the increasing demand for faster, more accessible healthcare solutions, these tools have become a game-changer in the industry. Virtual assistants and chatbots are becoming increasingly popular in healthcare, providing patients with an easy and convenient way to access healthcare services and information.

The AI-powered virtual assistants and chatbots offer numerous benefits to patients, including booking appointments, finding healthcare providers, and getting medical advice. Patients can access these services through their mobile devices, computers, or smart speakers. The AI-powered virtual assistants and chatbots are designed to provide personalized care and support to patients by analyzing their health data, medical history, and preferences.

One of the main benefits of virtual assistants and chatbots is their ability to help underserved populations. These populations may have difficulty accessing traditional healthcare services due to various reasons such as lack of transportation, distance, and language barriers. Virtual assistants and chatbots can bridge this gap by providing a convenient and accessible way for patients to receive healthcare services and information. With virtual assistants and chatbots, patients can access healthcare services from the comfort of their homes or workplaces, reducing the need for physical visits to healthcare providers.

Virtual assistants and chatbots can also help patients navigate the complex healthcare system. Patients often face challenges in finding the right healthcare provider, booking appointments, and understanding their medical bills. Virtual assistants and chatbots can assist patients in these tasks, making the process smoother and more efficient. Patients can ask questions and receive answers in real-time, without having to wait for a response from a healthcare provider.

Another benefit of virtual assistants and chatbots is their ability to provide medical advice. Patients can ask questions about their symptoms, medications, and medical conditions, and receive immediate answers from the virtual assistants and chatbots. The AI-powered virtual assistants and chatbots use machine learning algorithms to analyze patients' data and provide personalized medical advice. Patients can also receive reminders to take their medications or follow up with their healthcare providers.

Virtual assistants and chatbots can also help healthcare providers by reducing their workload. With the increasing demand for healthcare services, healthcare providers

often face challenges in managing their workload. Virtual assistants and chatbots can assist healthcare providers by handling routine tasks such as scheduling appointments, answering basic questions, and reminding patients of their appointments. This allows healthcare providers to focus on more complex tasks and provide personalized care to their patients.

However, there are also some limitations to virtual assistants and chatbots. One of the main limitations is their ability to provide personalized care. Virtual assistants and chatbots may not be able to provide the same level of personalized care as a healthcare provider. Patients with complex medical conditions may require specialized care and attention that virtual assistants and chatbots cannot provide. Virtual assistants and chatbots may also struggle to understand patients with non-standard accents or speech patterns.

Another limitation of virtual assistants and chatbots is their ability to handle emergencies. In case of a medical emergency, virtual assistants and chatbots may not be able to provide immediate assistance. Patients may need to seek medical attention from a healthcare provider or emergency services.

Privacy and security concerns are also a significant limitation of virtual assistants and chatbots. Virtual assistants and chatbots handle sensitive medical data, including patients' medical history, symptoms, and medications. Healthcare providers and patients must ensure that the virtual assistants and chatbots comply with relevant privacy and security regulations. Virtual assistants and chatbots are transforming the healthcare industry by providing patients with a convenient and accessible way to access healthcare services and information. Virtual assistants and chatbots can help underserved populations who may have difficulty accessing traditional healthcare services. They can assist patients in booking appointments, finding healthcare providers, and receiving medical advice.

Personalized treatment plans

Personalized treatment plans are a new and innovative approach to healthcare that is gaining popularity in the medical field. This approach involves using AI algorithms to analyze patient data and create customized treatment plans that take into account a patient's medical history, genetic makeup, and lifestyle factors. This personalized approach to treatment can help to ensure that patients receive the most effective treatments for their specific needs, improving outcomes and reducing healthcare disparities.

The use of AI algorithms in personalized treatment plans has several advantages over traditional treatment approaches. One of the main advantages is that personalized treatment plans can take into account a patient's unique medical history, genetic makeup, and lifestyle factors. This information can be used to create a more accurate diagnosis and develop a customized treatment plan that is tailored to the patient's specific needs. This can improve outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse effects associated with generic treatments.

Another advantage of personalized treatment plans is that they can help to reduce healthcare disparities. In traditional healthcare approaches, patients may receive the same treatment regardless of their individual medical history, genetic makeup, or lifestyle factors. This can lead to healthcare disparities, where some patients may receive suboptimal care. Personalized treatment plans can help to address these disparities by ensuring that each patient receives the most effective treatment for their specific needs.

The use of AI algorithms in personalized treatment plans is becoming more prevalent due to the increasing availability of patient data. Electronic health records, genomics data, and wearable devices are providing healthcare providers with more patient data than ever before. AI algorithms can analyze this data and identify patterns that can be used to create personalized treatment plans. This approach can help healthcare providers to make more informed decisions and provide better care to their patients.

One example of personalized treatment plans is in cancer treatment. AI algorithms can analyze a patient's tumor data and genetic makeup to identify specific mutations that may be driving the cancer. This information can be used to develop a targeted treatment plan that is tailored to the patient's specific needs. Targeted treatments can be more effective and have fewer side effects than traditional chemotherapy or radiation treatments.

Another example of personalized treatment plans is in mental health. AI algorithms can analyze a patient's electronic health records and identify patterns in their symptoms and treatment history. This information can be used to develop a customized treatment plan that takes into account the patient's unique needs and preferences. This personalized approach to treatment can improve outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse effects associated with traditional mental health treatments.

Personalized treatment plans are also being used in chronic disease management. AI algorithms can analyze a patient's electronic health records, wearable device data, and lifestyle factors to develop a personalized treatment plan that addresses the

patient's specific needs. This approach can help patients to manage their chronic conditions more effectively and reduce the risk of complications.

However, there are also some limitations to personalized treatment plans. One of the main limitations is the availability of patient data. Not all patients have access to electronic health records, genomics data, or wearable devices. This can limit the ability of healthcare providers to develop personalized treatment plans for these patients.

Another limitation of personalized treatment plans is the cost. Developing a personalized treatment plan can be expensive and time-consuming. Healthcare providers may need to invest in AI technology, software, and personnel to develop and implement personalized treatment plans. This can limit the availability of personalized treatment plans to certain patient populations.

Privacy and security concerns are also a significant limitation of personalized treatment plans. Personalized treatment plans involve the analysis of sensitive patient data, including medical history, genetic makeup, and lifestyle factors. Healthcare providers must ensure that patient data is handled securely and in compliance with relevant privacy regulations.

In conclusion, personalized treatment plans are a new and innovative approach to healthcare that is gaining popularity in the medical field. AI algorithms can analyze patient data to create customized treatment plans that take into account a patient's medical history.

Remote monitoring

The advent of AI-powered monitoring devices has revolutionized the way healthcare providers monitor patients' health status, especially for those residing in remote or underserved areas. These devices can track various health parameters in real-time, enabling healthcare professionals to identify potential issues early and provide timely interventions.

Remote monitoring, powered by AI, has emerged as a promising approach for healthcare providers to deliver efficient and effective care to patients. The technology works by collecting data from sensors embedded in medical devices or wearables that patients use to monitor their health. The data is then transmitted to a centralized system, where AI algorithms analyze it to detect any abnormalities in the patient's health status.

One of the primary benefits of remote monitoring is that it can help overcome the challenges faced by patients living in remote or underserved areas. These patients

may have limited access to healthcare facilities, making it difficult for them to receive timely interventions. With remote monitoring, healthcare providers can remotely monitor these patients' health status, ensuring that they receive timely interventions when needed.

Moreover, remote monitoring can help reduce healthcare costs, especially for patients with chronic conditions who require frequent visits to the hospital. With remote monitoring, healthcare providers can detect potential issues early, preventing hospitalizations and reducing healthcare costs. Additionally, remote monitoring can help reduce the burden on healthcare providers by reducing the number of patients who require frequent visits to the hospital.

Another significant advantage of remote monitoring is that it can improve patient outcomes by enabling healthcare providers to provide personalized care. By monitoring patients' health status in real-time, healthcare providers can tailor interventions to the patient's specific needs, ensuring that they receive the most appropriate care.

Remote monitoring devices can monitor a wide range of health parameters, including blood pressure, heart rate, glucose levels, and oxygen saturation levels. These devices can also monitor patients' medication adherence, ensuring that they take their medication as prescribed.

One of the challenges of remote monitoring is that it requires patients to use medical devices or wearables to monitor their health status continually. Patients may find it challenging to use these devices, especially if they are not technologically savvy. Additionally, patients may have privacy concerns about sharing their health data with healthcare providers.

To overcome these challenges, healthcare providers must educate patients about the benefits of remote monitoring and provide training on how to use the devices properly. Additionally, healthcare providers must ensure that patients' privacy is protected by implementing robust data security measures.

In conclusion, remote monitoring powered by AI is a promising approach to healthcare delivery that can improve patient outcomes and reduce healthcare costs, especially for patients living in remote or underserved areas. By remotely monitoring patients' health status in real-time, healthcare providers can identify potential issues early and provide timely interventions, ensuring that patients receive the most appropriate care. However, to realize the full potential of remote monitoring, healthcare providers must overcome the challenges associated with patient adoption and privacy concerns. With proper training and data security

measures, remote monitoring can revolutionize healthcare delivery and improve patient outcomes.

Conclusion

As healthcare systems continue to face challenges related to access and equity, there is growing interest in the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to address these issues. While there is some promising evidence to suggest that AI-based interventions can help to reduce healthcare inequalities, it is important to consider the potential risks and limitations of this approach.

One significant concern with the use of AI in healthcare is the potential for perpetuating bias and discrimination. If AI algorithms are trained on biased data, they may replicate and even amplify existing health disparities. For example, if an algorithm is trained on data from primarily white patients, it may not perform as well when applied to patients from other racial or ethnic backgrounds. This could result in inaccurate diagnoses or treatment recommendations, which could ultimately exacerbate existing health inequalities.

Another potential risk of AI in healthcare is the limited ability of some algorithms to account for cultural and linguistic differences. Language and cultural barriers can impact the accuracy of diagnoses and treatment recommendations, and AI algorithms that are not designed to address these factors may struggle to provide appropriate care for patients from diverse backgrounds. This is especially concerning given the increasing diversity of patient populations in many countries.

In addition to concerns around bias and cultural sensitivity, there are also significant privacy and data security risks associated with the use of AI in healthcare. Patient health data is highly sensitive, and the use of AI-powered tools raises questions around how this data will be collected, stored, and shared. There is a risk that this data could be used for nefarious purposes or that it could be accessed by unauthorized individuals.

Given these risks, it is crucial to approach the use of AI in healthcare with caution. Careful consideration must be given to the potential benefits and risks of each intervention, and robust measures must be put in place to ensure that these tools are used safely and effectively. One potential benefit of AI in healthcare is its ability to improve diagnostic accuracy. AI algorithms can analyze large amounts of data and identify patterns that might be missed by human clinicians. This could result in more accurate and timely diagnoses, leading to improved health outcomes for patients. Additionally, AI tools can help to identify patients who are at risk of developing

certain conditions, allowing for earlier interventions and potentially preventing the development of chronic diseases.

Healthcare fund plays a critical role in the effectiveness of AI-based interventions in reducing healthcare inequalities. By financing the development and implementation of tailored AI-based interventions, training healthcare professionals, and evaluating the impact of interventions, healthcare fund can ensure that AI-based interventions are effectively reducing healthcare inequalities, especially among underserved populations. As such, investing in healthcare fund is crucial in leveraging the potential of AI-based interventions to transform healthcare and improve health outcomes for all.

AI algorithms can analyze patient data to identify factors that may impact treatment outcomes, such as age, gender, and medical history. This could allow for more tailored and effective treatment plans that take into account individual patient needs and characteristics. The potential benefits of AI in healthcare are significant, but they must be balanced against the potential risks and limitations of this approach. As healthcare systems continue to adopt AI-powered tools, it will be important to ensure that these tools are designed and implemented in a way that promotes equity and avoids perpetuating bias and discrimination. Additionally, robust measures must be put in place to protect patient privacy and data security, and to ensure that these tools are used safely and effectively to improve health outcomes for all patients.

References

1.   T. Davenport, R. Kalakota, The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthc J*. **6**, 94–98 (2019).

2.   K.-H. Yu, A. L. Beam, I. S. Kohane, Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nat Biomed Eng*. **2**, 719–731 (2018).

3.   R. Vaishya, M. Javaid, I. H. Khan, A. Haleem, Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications for COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr*. **14**, 337–339 (2020).

4. D. Wall, Cybercrime: The transformation of crime in the information age (2007) (available at https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=swEgF0SgaFEC&oi=fnd&pg=PR4&dq=cybercrime+&ots=beqdHLnaUr&sig=FHAp_89aiG7qIBdoPbmdL2aaY_k).

5. D. L. Shinder, M. Cross, Scene of the Cybercrime (2008) (available at https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=fJVcgl8IJs4C&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=cybercrime+&ots=eAFtv8LDl3&sig=LVpei-Bte0IcJG5wbdcLhft6cFE).

6. A. C. Lange, A. Duran, R. Jackson, The state of LGBT and queer research in higher education revisited: Current academic houses and future possibilities. *Journal of College Student* (2019) (available at https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/1/article/735229/summary).

7. A. Gegenfurtner, M. Gebhardt, Sexuality education including lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) issues in schools. *Educational Research Review*. **22**, 215–222 (2017).

8. A. S. W. Chan, J. M. C. Ho, P. M. K. Tang, Cancer and the LGBT Community. *J. Homosex.* **70**, 989–992 (2023).

9. K. Graves, LGBTQ education research in historical context. *LGBTQ issues in education: Advancing a research agenda*, 23–42 (2015).

10. C. D. Marcum, G. E. Higgins, Cybercrime (2019) (available at https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-20779-3_23).

11. S. Karakolias, N. Polyzos, Application and assessment of a financial distress projection model in private general clinics. *Archives of Hellenic Medicine/Arheia Ellenikes Iatrikes*. **32** (2015) (available at https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=11053992&AN=108792389&h=vfWbltq0P%2FbOJ2pDL99Fz2EZiU%2BQ0FxmWfQk2Ka7huehSr%2FS51h%2ByV31%2FmA%2BCJWnROWTUQ%2FJPWt9cZYyP4Vghg%3D%3D&crl=c).

12. P. K. Yeng, L. O. Nweke, A. Z. Woldaregay, B. Yang, E. A. Snekkenes, "Data-Driven and Artificial Intelligence (AI) Approach for Modelling and Analyzing

Healthcare Security Practice: A Systematic Review" in *Intelligent Systems and Applications* (Springer International Publishing, 2021), pp. 1–18.

13. P. Hamet, J. Tremblay, Artificial intelligence in medicine. *Metabolism* (2017) (available at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002604951730015X).

14. B. V. Prasanthi, P. Kanakam, Cyber forensic science to diagnose digital crimes-a study. *International Journal of* (2017) (available at https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Bv-Prasanthi-2/publication/319354714_Cyber_Forensic_Science_to_Diagnose_Digital_Crimes-_A_study/links/59a7d7330f7e9b41b78b3e22/Cyber-Forensic-Science-to-Diagnose-Digital-Crimes-A-study.pdf).

15. T. F. Gayed, H. Lounis, M. Bari, "Cyber forensics: Representing and (im) proving the chain of custody using the semantic web" in *COGNITIVE 2012: The Fourth International Conference on Advanced Cognitive Technologies and Applications* (Citeseer, 2012), pp. 19–23.

16. M. Mnyakin, Investigating the Impacts of AR, AI, and Website Optimization on Ecommerce Sales Growth. *RRST*. **3**, 116–130 (2020).

17. C. M. Karat, J. O. Blom, J. Karat, *Designing personalized user experiences in eCommerce* (Springer, New York, NY, ed. 2004, 2004), *Human-Computer Interaction Series*.

18. K. Jaishankar, Cyber criminology: Evolving a novel discipline with a new journal. *International Journal of Cyber Criminology* (2007) (available at https://www.cybercrimejournal.com/pdf/editorialijcc.pdf).

19. J. Telo, ANALYZING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BEHAVIORAL BIOMETRICS IN AUTHENTICATION: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW. *Tensorgate Journal of Sustainable Technology and Infrastructure for Developing Countries*. **2**, 19–36 (2019).

20. D. Z. Nowaskie, A. U. Patel, How much is needed? Patient exposure and curricular education on medical students' LGBT cultural competency. *BMC Med. Educ.* **20**, 490 (2020).

21. K. A. Bonvicini, LGBT healthcare disparities: What progress have we made? *Patient Educ. Couns.* (2017) (available at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0738399117303476).

22. A. Daley, J. A. MacDonnell, "That would have been beneficial": LGBTQ education for home-care service providers. *Health Soc. Care Community* (2015) (available at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/hsc.12141).

23. A. R. Matza, C. A. Sloan, M. R. Kauth, Quality LGBT health education: A review of key reports and webinars. *Parent. Sci. Pract.* (2015) (available at https://psycnet.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1111/cpsp.12096).

24. A. S. K. Chan, W. C. Ho, "My community doesn't belong to me anymore!": Tourism-driven spatial change and radicalized identity politics in Hong Kong. *Living in the Margins in Mainland China* (2020) (available at https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003037873-9/community-doesn-belong-anymore-alex-siu-kin-chan-wing-chung-ho).

25. N. Polyzos, S. Karakolias, G. Mavridoglou, P. Gkorezis, C. Zilidis, Current and future insight into human resources for health in Greece. *Open J. Soc. Sci.* **03**, 5–14 (2015).

26. H. Elath, R. R. Dixit, R. P. Schumaker, M. A. Veronin, "Predicting Deadly Drug Combinations through a Machine Learning Approach" in *PACIS* (2018), p. 177.

27. K. A. Renn, LGBT and Queer Research in Higher Education: The State and Status of the Field. *Educ. Res.* **39**, 132–141 (2010).

28. B. L. Bilodeau, K. A. Renn, Analysis of LGBT identity development models and implications for practice. *New Dir. Stud. Serv.* **2005**, 25–39 (2005).

29. R. Carabez, M. Pellegrini, A. Mankovitz, M. Eliason, "Never in all my years…": Nurses' education about LGBT health. *Journal of Professional* (2015) (available at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S8755722315000046).

30. A. S. K. Chan, thesis, City University of Hong Kong (2020).

31. V. S. Harichandran, F. Breitinger, I. Baggili, A. Marrington, A cyber forensics needs analysis survey: Revisiting the domain's needs a decade later. *Comput. Secur.* **57**, 1–13 (2016).

32. J. Telo, Understanding Security Awareness Among Bank Customers: A Study Using Multiple Regression Analysis. *Sage Science Review of Educational Technology*. **6**, 26–38 (2023).

33. A. Marcella Jr, D. Menendez, Cyber forensics: a field manual for collecting, examining, and preserving evidence of computer crimes (2010) (available at https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9780849383298/cyber-forensics).

34. I. Baggili, F. Breitinger, Data sources for advancing cyber forensics: What the social world has to offer (2015), (available at https://cdn.aaai.org/ocs/10227/10227-45279-1-PB.pdf).

35. G. Shrivastava, K. Sharma, M. Khari, S. E. Zohora, "Role of Cyber Security and Cyber Forensics in India" in *Handbook of Research on Network Forensics and Analysis Techniques* (IGI Global, 2018), pp. 143–161.

36. N. Polyzos, C. Kastanioti, C. Zilidis, G. Mavridoglou, S. Karakolias, P. Litsa, V. Menegakis, C. Kani, Greek National E-Prescribing System: Preliminary Results of a Tool for Rationalizing Pharmaceutical Use and Cost. *Glob. J. Health Sci.* **8**, 55711 (2016).

37. R. Harman, Continuing conversations: A review ofLGBTQ Youth and Education: Policies and Practices. *J. LGBT Youth*. **14**, 122–127 (2017).

38. A. S. W. Chan, J. M. C. Ho, J. S. F. Li, H. L. Tam, Impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on psychological well-being of older chronic kidney disease patients. *Frontiers in Medicine* (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2021.666973/full).

39. T. Jones, Education policies: Potential impacts and implications in Australia and beyond. *J. LGBT Youth*. **13**, 141–160 (2016).

40. H. C. Nardi, Theoretical approaches and policies in sexual diversity and educational in Brazil: A critical review. *J. LGBT Youth*. **8**, 201–209 (2011).

41. A. Brinson, A. Robinson, M. Rogers, A cyber forensics ontology: Creating a new approach to studying cyber forensics. *Digital Investigation*. **3**, 37–43 (2006).

42. J. Telo, A Comparative Analysis of Network Security Technologies for Small and Large Enterprises. *International Journal of Business Intelligence and Big Data Analytics*. **2**, 1–10 (2019).

43. H. Park, S. Cho, H.-C. Kwon, "Cyber Forensics Ontology for Cyber Criminal Investigation" in *Forensics in Telecommunications, Information and Multimedia* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009), pp. 160–165.

44. B. V. Prasanthi, Vishnu Institute of Technology, Cyber Forensic Tools: A Review. *Int. J. Eng. Trends Technol.* **41**, 266–271 (2016).

45. J. Telo, Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: A Review of Applications and Implications. *Journal of Advanced Analytics in Healthcare Management*. **1**, 1–20 (2017).

46. E. A. McConnell, M. A. Birkett, B. Mustanski, Typologies of Social Support and Associations with Mental Health Outcomes Among LGBT Youth. *LGBT Health*. **2**, 55–61 (2015).

47. M. J. Eliason, S. L. Dibble, P. A. Robertson, Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) physicians' experiences in the workplace. *J. Homosex.* **58**, 1355–1371 (2011).

48. A. O. Sekoni, N. K. Gale, B. Manga-Atangana, A. Bhadhuri, K. Jolly, The effects of educational curricula and training on LGBT-specific health issues for healthcare students and professionals: a mixed-method systematic review. *J. Int. AIDS Soc.* **20**, 21624 (2017).

49. A. S. W. Chan, L. M. Leung, J. S. F. Li, J. M. C. Ho, H. L. Tam, W. L. Hsu, A. N. O. S. Iu, P. M. K. Tang, E. Yan, Impacts of psychological wellbeing with HIV/AIDS and cancer among sexual and gender minorities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Public Health*. **10**, 912980 (2022).

50. N. Polyzos, S. Karakolias, C. Dikeos, M. Theodorou, C. Kastanioti, K. Mama, P. Polizoidis, C. Skamnakis, C. Tsairidis, E. Threos, The introduction of Greek Central Health Fund: Has the reform met its goal in the sector of Primary Health Care or is there a new model needed? *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **14**, 583 (2014).

51. R. P. Schumaker, M. A. Veronin, R. R. Dixit, Determining Mortality Likelihood of Opioid Drug Combinations using Decision Tree Analysis (2022).

52. S. Cahill, H. Makadon, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Data Collection in Clinical Settings and in Electronic Health Records: A Key to Ending LGBT Health Disparities. *LGBT Health*. **1**, 34–41 (2014).

53. C. Ryan, S. T. Russell, D. Huebner, R. Diaz, J. Sanchez, Family acceptance in adolescence and the health of LGBT young adults. *J. Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Nurs.* **23**, 205–213 (2010).

54. K. F. Balsam, Y. Molina, B. Beadnell, J. Simoni, K. Walters, Measuring multiple minority stress: the LGBT People of Color Microaggressions Scale. *Cultur. Divers. Ethnic Minor. Psychol.* **17**, 163–174 (2011).

55. A. S. W. Chan CPsychol, RSWPhD, Letter to the Editor: Advocating Worldwide Social Inclusion and Anti-Discrimination Among LGBT Community. *J. Homosex.* **70**, 779–781 (2023).

56. S. Gordon, R. Ford, On the definition and classification of cybercrime. *Journal in computer virology* (2006) (available at https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11416-006-0015-z).

57. C. Cho, S. Chin, K. S. Chung, Cyber forensic for hadoop based cloud system. *International Journal of Security and its Applications*. **6**, 83–90 (2012).

58. L. Luciano, I. Baggili, M. Topor, P. Casey, F. Breitinger, "Digital Forensics in the Next Five Years" in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security* (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2018), *ARES 2018*, pp. 1–14.

59. J. Telo, Web Traffic Prediction Using Autoregressive, LSTM, and XGBoost Time Series Models. *Web Traffic Prediction Using Autoregressive, LSTM, and XGBoost Time Series Models*. **3**, 1–15 (2020).

60. A. J. Marcella, F. Guillossou, *Cyber forensics: From data to digital evidence* (John Wiley & Sons, Nashville, TN, 2012; https://books.google.at/books?id=odPpQnh67uYC), *Wiley Corporate F&A*.

61. S. E. Karakolias, N. M. Polyzos, The newly established unified healthcare fund (EOPYY): current situation and proposed structural changes, towards an

upgraded model of primary health care, in Greece. *Health* . **2014** (2014) (available at https://www.scirp.org/html/2-8202748_44338.htm).

62. J. Telo, Smart City Security Threats and Countermeasures in the Context of Emerging Technologies. *International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing*. **6**, 31–45 (2023).

63. D. Walsh, S. G. Hendrickson, Focusing on the "T" in LGBT: An online survey of related content in Texas nursing programs. *J. Nurs. Educ.* (2015) (available at https://journals.healio.com/doi/abs/10.3928/01484834-20150515-07).

64. G. M. Sequeira, C. Chakraborti, B. A. Panunti, Integrating Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) Content Into Undergraduate Medical School Curricula: A Qualitative Study. *Ochsner J.* **12**, 379–382 (2012).

65. R. Sintos Coloma, Ladlad and Parrhesiastic Pedagogy: Unfurling LGBT Politics and Education in the Global South. *Curriculum Inquiry* (2013) (available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1111/CURI.12020).

66. A. S. W. Chan, Book Review: Safe Is Not Enough: Better Schools for LGBTQ Students (Youth Development and Education Series) (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.704995/full).

67. D. Nowaskie, A national survey of U.S. psychiatry residents' LGBT cultural competency: The importance of LGBT patient exposure and formal education. *J. Gay Lesbian Ment. Health*. **24**, 375–391 (2020).

68. G. Flores, Toward a More Inclusive Multicultural Education: Methods for Including LGBT Themes in K-12 Classrooms. *Am. J. Sex. Educ.* **7**, 187–197 (2012).

69. C. Mayo, J. A. Banks, *LGBTQ youth and education: Policies and practices* (Teachers' College Press, New York, NY, ed. 2, 2022), *Multicultural Education Series*.

70. A. S. W. Chan, P. M. K. Tang, Application of Novel Psychoactive Substances: Chemsex and HIV/AIDS Policies Among Men Who Have Sex With Men in Hong Kong. *Front. Psychiatry*. **12**, 680252 (2021).

71. G. S. Dardick, Cyber Forensics Assurance (2010), doi:10.4225/75/57b2926c40cda.

72. J. Telo, Intrusion Detection with Supervised Machine Learning using SMOTE for Imbalanced Datasets. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Management*. **5**, 12–24 (2021).

73. R. Santanam, M. Sethumadhavan, M. Virendra, *Cyber Security, Cyber Crime and Cyber Forensics: Applications and Perspectives* (Information Science Reference, Hershey, PA, 2010; https://books.google.at/books?id=A7Tr3ztALBAC), *Advances in Digital Crime, Forensics, and Cyber Terrorism*.

74. I. V. Kotenko, M. Kolomeets, A. Chechulin, Y. Chevalier, A visual analytics approach for the cyber forensics based on different views of the network traffic. *J. Wirel. Mob. Networks Ubiquitous Comput. Dependable Appl.* **9**, 57–73 (2018).

75. R. Y. Patil, S. R. Devane, "Unmasking of source identity, a step beyond in cyber forensic" in *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Security of Information and Networks* (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2017), *SIN '17*, pp. 157–164.

76. S. Karakolias, C. Kastanioti, M. Theodorou, N. Polyzos, Primary care doctors' assessment of and preferences on their remuneration. *Inquiry*. **54**, 46958017692274 (2017).

77. J. Telo, AI for Enhanced Healthcare Security: An Investigation of Anomaly Detection, Predictive Analytics, Access Control, Threat Intelligence, and Incident Response. *Journal of Advanced Analytics in Healthcare Management*. **1**, 21–37 (2017).

78. J. I. Martin, L. Messinger, R. Kull, J. Holmes, Council on Social Work Education–Lambda legal study of LGBT issues in social work. *Soc. Work Educ.* (2009) (available at https://www.cswe.org/getattachment/News/Press-Room/Press-Release-Archives/CSWE-and-Lambda-Legal-Release-First-Sexual-Ori-(1)/CSWELambdareportfinal102609(1).pdf).

79. A. S. W. Chan, Book review: the Educator's guide to LGBT+ inclusion: a practical resource for K-12 teachers, administrators, and school support staff (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.692343/full).

80. E. J. Meyer, The personal is political: LGBTQ education research and policy since 1993. *Educ. Forum* (2015) (available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00131725.2015.1069514).

81. C. G. Taylor, E. J. Meyer, T. Peter, J. Ristock, Gaps between beliefs, perceptions, and practices: The Every Teacher Project on LGBTQ-inclusive education in Canadian schools. *Journal of LGBT* (2016) (available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19361653.2015.1087929).

82. P. Dhake, R. Dixit, D. Manson, Calculating a Severity Score of an Adverse Drug Event Using Machine Learning on the FAERS Database. *IIMA/ICITED UWS* (2017) (available at https://mla.hcommons.org/deposits/item/hc:51647/).

83. S. R. Aragon, V. P. Poteat, D. L. Espelage, The influence of peer victimization on educational outcomes for LGBTQ and non-LGBTQ high school students. *Journal of LGBT* (2014) (available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19361653.2014.840761).

84. C. T. Hardacker, B. Rubinstein, A. Hotton, Adding silver to the rainbow: the development of the nurses' health education about LGBT elders (HEALE) cultural competency curriculum. *Journal of Nursing* (2014) (available at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jonm.12125).

85. M. E. Hirschtritt, G. Noy, E. Haller, M. Forstein, LGBT-Specific Education in General Psychiatry Residency Programs: a Survey of Program Directors. *Acad. Psychiatry*. **43**, 41–45 (2019).

86. A. S. W. Chan, J. S. F. Li, J. M. C. Ho, H. L. Tam, W. L. Hsu, The systematic review and meta-analysis of Chronic Inflammation and Fibrosis in HIV/AIDS and Cancer: Impacts of Psychological Wellbeing among …. *Frontiers in Public*.

87. S. C. McQuade, Understanding and managing cybercrime (2006) (available at https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/understanding-and-managing-cybercrime).

88. E. A. Cech, W. R. Rothwell, LGBTQ inequality in engineering education. *J. Eng. Educ.* **107**, 583–610 (2018).

89. A. S. W. Chan, Book review: the deviant's war: the homosexual vs. the United States of America (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsoc.2021.667576/full).

90. R. M. Kull, J. G. Kosciw, E. A. Greytak, Preparing School Counselors to Support LGBT Youth: The Roles of Graduate Education and Professional Development. *Professional School Counseling*. **20**, 1096-2409–20.1a.13 (2017).

91. M. B. Cooper, M. Chacko, J. Christner, Incorporating LGBT Health in an Undergraduate Medical Education Curriculum Through the Construct of Social Determinants of Health. *MedEdPORTAL*. **14**, 10781 (2018).

92. L. Allen, Queering the academy: new directions in LGBT research in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*. **34**, 681–684 (2015).

93. A. S. Keuroghlian, K. L. Ard, H. J. Makadon, Advancing health equity for lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) people through sexual health education and LGBT-affirming health care environments. *Sex. Health*. **14**, 119–122 (2017).

94. S. T. Russell, J. N. Fish, Mental Health in Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) Youth. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* **12**, 465–487 (2016).

95. J. Almeida, R. M. Johnson, H. L. Corliss, B. E. Molnar, D. Azrael, Emotional distress among LGBT youth: the influence of perceived discrimination based on sexual orientation. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **38**, 1001–1014 (2009).

96. A. S. W. Chan, P. M. K. Tang, E. Yan, Chemsex and its risk factors associated with human immunodeficiency virus among men who have sex with men in Hong Kong. *World Journal of Virology* (2022) (available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9372789/).

97. I. H. Meyer, Minority stress and positive psychology: Convergences and divergences to understanding LGBT health. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*. **1**, 348–349 (2014).

98. D. Hermosillo, H. R. Cygan, S. Lemke, E. McIntosh, M. Vail, Achieving health equity for LGBTQ+ adolescents. *J. Contin. Educ. Nurs.* **53**, 348–354 (2022).

99. D. C. J. Catalano, The paradoxes of social justice education: Experiences of LGBTQ+ social justice educational intervention facilitators. *J. Divers. High. Educ.* (2022), doi:10.1037/dhe0000436.

100. A. S. W. Chan, J. M. C. Ho, H. L. Tam, W. L. Hsu, P. M. K. Tang, COVID-19, SARS, and MERS: the risk factor associated with depression and its impact on psychological well-being among sexual moralities (2022) (available at https://meddocsonline.org/journal-of-psychiatry-and-behavioral-sciences/covid-19-sars-and-mers-the-risk-factor-associated-with-depression-and-its-impact-on.pdf).

101. E. Cornelius, M. Fabro, "Recommended practice: Creating cyber forensics plans for control systems" (INL/EXT-08-14231, Idaho National Lab. (INL), Idaho Falls, ID (United States), 2008), , doi:10.2172/944209.

102. J. Telo, Supervised Machine Learning for Detecting Malicious URLs: An Evaluation of Different Models. *Sage Science Review of Applied Machine Learning*. **5**, 30–46 (2022).

103. J. Stirland, K. Jones, H. Janicke, T. Wu, Others, "Developing cyber forensics for SCADA industrial control systems" in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Security and Cyber Forensics* (Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia, 2014; https://www.academia.edu/download/35006798/131.pdf).

104. S. Nirkhi, R. V. Dharaskar, Comparative study of Authorship Identification Techniques for Cyber Forensics Analysis. *arXiv [cs.CY]* (2013), (available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.6118).

105. R. Anderson, C. Barton, R. Böhme, R. Clayton, M. J. G. van Eeten, M. Levi, T. Moore, S. Savage, "Measuring the Cost of Cybercrime" in *The Economics of Information Security and Privacy*, R. Böhme, Ed. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013), pp. 265–300.

106. İ. Göçmen, V. Yılmaz, Exploring Perceived Discrimination Among LGBT Individuals in Turkey in Education, Employment, and Health Care: Results of an Online Survey. *J. Homosex.* **64**, 1052–1068 (2017).

107. A. S. W. Chan, J. M. C. Ho, H. L. Tam, P. M. K. Tang, Book review: successful aging: a neuroscientist explores the power and potential of our lives.

Front. Psychol. (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.705368/full).

108. M. A. Navarro, L. Hoffman, E. C. Crankshaw, J. Guillory, S. Jacobs, LGBT Identity and Its Influence on Perceived Effectiveness of Advertisements from a LGBT Tobacco Public Education Campaign. *J. Health Commun.* **24**, 469–481 (2019).

109. P. L. McNiel, K. M. Elertson, Advocacy and Awareness: Integrating LGBTQ Health Education Into the Prelicensure Curriculum. *J. Nurs. Educ.* **57**, 312–314 (2018).

110. E. Korolczuk, The fight against 'gender'and "LGBT ideology": new developments in Poland. *European journal of politics and gender* (2020) (available at https://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/bup/ejpg/2020/00000003/00000001/art00010?crawler=true).

111. A. S. W. Chan, D. Wu, I. P. Y. Lo, J. M. C. Ho, E. Yan, Diversity and Inclusion: Impacts on Psychological Wellbeing Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Communities. *Front. Psychol.* **13**, 726343 (2022).

112. C. Eickhoff, Identifying Gaps in LGBTQ Health Education in Baccalaureate Undergraduate Nursing Programs. *J. Nurs. Educ.* **60**, 552–558 (2021).

113. L. Baams, J. S. Dubas, M. A. G. van Aken, Comprehensive Sexuality Education as a Longitudinal Predictor of LGBTQ Name-Calling and Perceived Willingness to Intervene in School. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **46**, 931–942 (2017).

114. C. J. Nash, K. Browne, Resisting the mainstreaming of LGBT equalities in Canadian and British Schools: Sex education and trans school friends. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*. **39**, 74–93 (2021).

115. M. A. Veronin, R. P. Schumaker, R. R. Dixit, A systematic approach to'cleaning'of drug name records data in the FAERS database: a case report. *Journal of Big ...* (2020) (available at https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/abs/10.1504/IJBDM.2020.112404).

116. D. Landi, LGBTQ youth, physical education, and sexuality education: Affect, curriculum, and (new) materialism (2019) (available at https://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/handle/2292/47621).

117. A. S. W. Chan, I. P. Y. Lo, E. Yan, Health and Social Inclusion: The Impact of Psychological Well-Being and Suicide Attempts Among Older Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Am. J. Mens. Health*. **16**, 15579883221120984 (2022).

118. P. D. Utamsingh, S. Kenya, C. N. Lebron, O. Carrasquillo, Beyond sensitivity. LGBT healthcare training in U.s. medical schools: A review of the literature. *Am. J. Sex. Educ.* **12**, 148–169 (2017).

119. S. T. Russell, S. Horn, J. Kosciw, E. Saewyc, Safe Schools Policy for LGBTQ Students and commentaries. *Soc. Policy Rep.* **24**, 1–25 (2010).

120. J. Telo, PRIVACY AND CYBERSECURITY CONCERNS IN SMART GOVERNANCE SYSTEMS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. *Tensorgate Journal of Sustainable Technology and Infrastructure for Developing Countries*. **4**, 1–13 (2021).

121. H. McGlashan, K. Fitzpatrick, LGBTQ youth activism and school: Challenging sexuality and gender norms. *Health Educ.* (2017) (available at https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/HE-10-2016-0053/full/html).

122. A. S. W. Chan, Book review: the gay revolution: the story of the struggle (2021) (available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.677734/full).

123. D. Landi, S. B. Flory, C. Safron, LGBTQ Research in physical education: a rising tide? *Phys. Educ. Sport Pedagogy* (2020) (available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17408989.2020.1741534).

124. J. Jacobs, M. Freundlich, Achieving permanency for LGBTQ youth. *Child Welfare*. **85**, 299–316 (2006).

125. J. P. Elia, M. J. Eliason, Dangerous Omissions: Abstinence-Only-Until-Marriage School-Based Sexuality Education and the Betrayal of LGBTQ Youth. *Am. J. Sex. Educ.* **5**, 17–35 (2010).

126. M. A. Veronin, R. Dixit, R. P. Schumaker, "A Decision Tree Analysis of Opioid and Prescription Drug Interactions Leading to Death Using the FAERS Database" in *IIMA/ICITED Joint Conference 2018* (INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, 2018), pp. 67–67.

127.   S. Furnell, Cybercrime: Vandalizing the information society (2002) (available at http://informationr.net/ir/reviews/revs053.html).

128.   T. J. Holt, A. M. Bossler, Cybercrime in progress: Theory and prevention of technology-enabled offenses (2015) (available at https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=xMQ0CwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd &pg=PP1&dq=cybercrime+&ots=gtMQiBeOnQ&sig=RwwRA2wmBCTn_R PiXrDbaj9vObw).

129.   R. Broadhurst, L. Y. C. Chang, "Cybercrime in Asia: Trends and Challenges" in *Handbook of Asian Criminology*, J. Liu, B. Hebenton, S. Jou, Eds. (Springer New York, New York, NY, 2013), pp. 49–63.