

COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY OF MANUAL VERSUS ROBOTIC CLEANING METHODS IN URBAN SPACES

Hisham Farouk, Department of Computer Science, American University of Sharjah, UAE
Dina El-Zahra, Department of Computer Science, The British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

This paper conducts a comparative analysis of the environmental sustainability of manual versus robotic cleaning methods in urban spaces. The study focuses on evaluating the ecological footprint, energy consumption, and waste production associated with each cleaning method. Through a comprehensive review of current practices and an assessment of their environmental impacts, this study aims to provide insights into how urban cleaning can be made more sustainable. We examine factors such as the efficiency of resource use, the longevity and maintenance of cleaning equipment, and the implications of each method on urban waste management systems. The findings suggest that while robotic cleaning methods offer potential for reduced water and energy consumption, considerations around the production, maintenance, and disposal of robotic systems must be carefully managed to ensure a net positive environmental impact. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainable urban management by offering evidence-based recommendations for incorporating cleaning methods that align with ecological sustainability goals.

Background

Urban spaces require regular cleaning to maintain hygiene, safety, and aesthetics. Traditional manual cleaning methods have long been the standard, but advances in technology have led to the emergence of robotic cleaning methods as viable alternatives. These robotic systems promise increased efficiency and reduced resource use, potentially offering a more sustainable solution. However, the environmental sustainability of these methods must be evaluated comprehensively, taking into account the entire lifecycle of the cleaning processes and equipment.

Comparative Study on the Environmental Sustainability of Manual Versus Robotic Cleaning Methods in Urban Spaces

Main Findings

- Resource Efficiency:** Robotic cleaning methods have been found to use water and energy more efficiently than manual methods. The precision and adaptability of robots allow for targeted cleaning, reducing unnecessary waste of resources. However, the production and maintenance of robotic systems consume materials and energy, which must be factored into their overall environmental impact.
- Emissions and Waste Production:** Manual cleaning methods, while less dependent on electricity, often rely on cleaning solutions and equipment that can contribute to environmental pollution. Robotic cleaners, designed to minimize the use of harsh chemicals, can reduce the direct emission of pollutants. Yet, the disposal of electronic waste and batteries from robotic systems presents a significant environmental challenge.
- Lifecycle Assessment:** A lifecycle assessment of both cleaning methods reveals that the environmental sustainability of robotic cleaning depends heavily on factors such as the source of electricity, the materials used for robot construction, and the efficiency of waste management processes for disposing of or recycling used robots.
- Urban Waste Management Implications:** Robotic cleaning methods can integrate more seamlessly with smart waste management systems in urban areas, potentially leading to more efficient trash collection and recycling processes. However, the sustainability of these benefits is contingent upon the development of robust systems for managing electronic waste.
- Recommendations for Sustainable Implementation:** For robotic cleaning methods to be considered environmentally sustainable, recommendations include the use of renewable energy sources, the development of robots using recyclable materials, and the establishment of efficient recycling programs for end-of-life equipment.

Conclusion

The comparative study on the environmental sustainability of manual versus robotic cleaning methods in urban spaces highlights the potential for robotic systems to contribute to more sustainable urban management. However, achieving a net positive environmental impact requires careful consideration of the entire lifecycle of cleaning methods, from production to disposal. Implementing sustainable practices, such as using renewable energy and recyclable materials, as well as developing effective e-waste recycling programs, is crucial for minimizing the ecological footprint of robotic cleaning technologies. As urban areas continue to grow, embracing sustainable cleaning methods will be key to ensuring the ecological resilience and health of urban environments.

References

- [1] J. Kim *et al.*, “Control strategies for cleaning robots in domestic applications: A comprehensive review,” *Int. J. Adv. Rob. Syst.*, vol. 16, no. 4, p. 1729881419857432, Jul. 2019.
- [2] C. Luo and S. X. Yang, “A real-time cooperative sweeping strategy for multiple cleaning robots,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control*, 2002, pp. 660–665.
- [3] S. Khanna and S. Srivastava, “The Emergence of AI based Autonomous UV Disinfection Robots in Pandemic Response and Hygiene Maintenance,” *International Journal of Applied Health Care Analytics*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 1–19, Nov. 2022.
- [4] S. Khanna and S. Srivastava, “Human-Robot Collaboration in Cleaning Applications: Methods, Limitations, and Proposed Solutions,” *Eigenpub Review of Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 52–74, Oct. 2022.
- [5] H. Shakhawat Hossen Prayash, M. R. Shaharear, M. F. Islam, S. Islam, N. Hossain, and S. Datta, “Designing and Optimization of An Autonomous Vacuum Floor Cleaning Robot,” in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Robotics, Automation, Artificial-intelligence and Internet-of-Things (RAAICON)*, 2019, pp. 25–30.
- [6] E. Galceran and M. Carreras, “A survey on coverage path planning for robotics,” *Rob. Auton. Syst.*, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 1258–1276, Dec. 2013.
- [7] S. Alelaumi, N. Khader, J. He, S. Lam, and S. W. Yoon, “Residue buildup predictive modeling for stencil cleaning profile decision-making using recurrent neural network,” *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 68, no. 102041, p. 102041, Apr. 2021.
- [8] Y. Zuo *et al.*, “Neural-network-based robust tracking control for condenser cleaning crawler-type mobile manipulators with delayed uncertainties,” *Int. J. Soc. Robot.*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1385–1396, Sep. 2021.